Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,070	NEVILLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Diana B. Johannsen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mary Ann Brow.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 July 2006</u>	Time: N/A (telephonic)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed:		
N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Diara B NA		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As had been agreed upon during the interview of 26 May 2006, the examiner contacted applicants' representative to inquire as to whether applicants' representative wished to file any additional amendments or arguments prior to examination of the application. Applicants' representative indicated that she did not wish to file anything further..